

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE '	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,710	01/24/2001	Harry C. Morris	DMBC-0003	2728
26259 75	90 03/13/2003			
	TYRRELL P.C.		EXAMINER	
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-46	<u> </u>	120	
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/768,710	MORRIS, HARRY C.	
,	Examin r	Art Unit	_
	John J. Zimmerman	1775	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee	
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	!
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		idered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:		Jehn J. Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1775	
5. Patent and Trademark Office FO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	visory Action	Part of Paper No. 9	_

C ntinuation She t (PTO-303) 009/768,710

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed requirement that the protective layer must be applied to "all" areas of the blade shaped substrate is a new issue that has not been previously required by the claims. This new requirem in would require further consideration and/or search in order to show anticipation or obviousn is of such a feature. Changes of this scope to the claims at this point in prosecution is untimely and will not be entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of the non-entry of the proposed amendment, applicant's arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the pending claims.